arXiv:1510.08995v1 [math.PR] 30 Oct 2015

FINITELY DEPENDENT INSERTION PROCESSES

AVI LEVY

Abstract. A q-coloring is a random process that assigns one of q colors to each integer, in such a way that consecutive integers receive distinct colors. A process is k-dependent if any two sets of integers separated by a distance greater than k receive independent colorings. In 2014, Holroyd and Liggett constructed the first stationary k-dependent q-colorings by introducing an insertion algorithm on the complete graph K_q; the cases $q = 3, 4$ are the unique values that yield a k-dependent process. We extend the construction from K_q to all finite graphs and show that the resulting processes are k-dependent only for complete multipartite analogues of K_3 and K_4. We deduce extensions of this result to weighted graphs and shifts of finite type.

1. Introduction

For a finite graph H, a **graph homomorphism** from $\mathbb{Z}$ to H is a sequence of vertices $(x_i)_{i\in\mathbb{Z}}$ with $x_i \in H$ such that x_i and x_{i+1} are adjacent for all i [13]. A **proper q-coloring** is a sequence of vertices $(x_i)_{i\in\mathbb{Z}}$ with $x_i \in [q] := \{1, \dots, q\}$ such that $x_i \neq x_{i+1}$ for all i. Equivalently, a proper q-coloring is a graph homomorphism from $\mathbb{Z}$ to K_q, the complete graph on q vertices. A random H-coloring $(X_i)_{i\in\mathbb{Z}}$ is **stationary** if $(X_i)_{i\in\mathbb{Z}}$ and $(X_{i+1})_{i\in\mathbb{Z}}$ are equal in law. A stationary H-coloring is **k-dependent** if $(X_i)_{i<0}$ and $(X_i)_{i\geq k}$ are independent, and **finitely dependent** if it is k-dependent for some k.

Are there stationary finitely dependent proper q-colorings? Oded Schramm showed in 2008 that the answer is 'no' for $k = 1$ and $q = 3$, and conjectured that this answer applies for all $k \geq 1$ and $q \geq 3$ [17]. A shocking turn of events occurred in 2014, when Holroyd and Liggett constructed k-dependent q-colorings in each of the remaining cases [15]. More 1-dependent q-colorings were constructed in [16].

Holroyd and Liggett's colorings have intriguing properties. For instance, they are examples of k-dependent processes that are not block factors of an iid sequence. The existence of such processes was asserted

Date: November 2, 2015.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 60G10; 05C15; 60C05.

Key words and phrases. Proper coloring, constraint graph, weighted graph, m-dependence, stationary process, shift of finite type.

by Ibragimov and Linnik in 1965 [18, 19]. Since then, understanding the difference between k-dependent processes and block factors has been an active area of research [2, 8, 10, 20]. Borodin, Diaconis, and Fulman state the belief that most 'natural' 1-dependent process are 2-block factors [7]. Due to the existence of k-dependent q-colorings, this belief may be revised: coloring serves to distinguish finitely dependent processes from block factors [15]. Hidden Markov models (obtained by applying a deterministic function to a finite state Markov chain) also cannot perform stationary coloring; however, finitary factors of an iid sequence can acheive finitely dependent coloring [14].

Despite their elusive nature, the finitely dependent 3- and 4-colorings discovered by Holroyd and Liggett arise from a natural construction.

(i) Start with the empty path.
(ii) Given a path of length i for $i < n$, sample a uniformly random pair of (insertion location, vertex of H).
(iii) Insert the chosen vertex at the chosen location and condition the resulting sequence to form a path.
(iv) Iterate until $i = n$.

This insertion algorithm produces a family of probability measures $\{\mathbb{P}_n\}$ on paths of length n in H. Let $X_1X_2\cdots X_n$ denote the random path with law $\mathbb{P}_n$. The family of measures is **consistent** if the paths

$$X_1X_2\cdots X_{n-1} \text{ and } X_2X_3\cdots X_n$$

are distributed according to $\mathbb{P}_{n-1}$. If so, the measures extend to an associated **insertion process** $(X_i)_{i\in\mathbb{Z}}$ which is stationary.

When these measures are consistent, we say the graph H has **property (C)**. Holroyd and Liggett showed that the complete graph K_q for $q \geq 2$ has property (C) [15, Proposition 10]. They discovered that the insertion process associated to K_4 is 1-dependent and the insertion process associated to K_3 is 2-dependent. Moreover, these are the *only* values of q for which the process is finitely dependent [15, Proposition 13]. One may embellish these examples by considering the complete multipartite graphs $K_{r,r,r,r}$ (resp. $K_{r,r,r}$); this is an example of state splitting [22, Section 2.4]. We establish the remarkable fact that these are the *only* graphs with a finitely dependent insertion process.

Theorem 1. *The insertion algorithm on a graph G yields a consistent family of distributions and a k-dependent process if and only if either: $G = K_{r,r,r}$ and $k \geq 2$; or $G = K_{r,r,r,r}$ and $k \geq 1$.*

We deduce Theorem 1 from a more general result pertaining to weighted graphs. In a **weighted graph**, vertices i and j are connected via an edge of weight $w(i,j) \geq 0$. A graph has **uniform weight** if

for all vertices either $w(i,j) = 0$ or $w(i,j) = w$ for some positive constant w. We extend the insertion algorithm from unweighted graphs to weighted graphs as follows. In the unweighted algorithm, we select a uniform pair of (insertion location, vertex) and condition on the new list of vertices forming a path. In the weighted algorithm, we bias the sample according to the product of edge weights formed by inserting the new vertex (see Section 2).

At first glance, it appears as if the uniform weight w does not affect the weighted insertion algorithm. This is not the case: insertion at the interior of a path incurs a weight of w^2, whereas insertion at either end incurs a weight of w. Thus by modifying w, we may favor or penalize endpoint insertion. This construction generalizes the end-weighted colorings appearing in [14, Section 4]. We extend Theorem 1 to the case of uniform weight graphs.

Theorem 2. *The insertion algorithm on a uniform weight graph G yields a consistent family of distributions and a k-dependent process if and only if G is unweighted and either: $G = K_{r,r,r}$ and $k \geq 2$; or $G = K_{r,r,r,r}$ and $k \geq 1$.*

Building on this result, we obtain information about non-uniform weight graphs as well. A weighted graph is **loopless** if $w(i,i) = 0$ for all vertices i.

Theorem 3. *Let G be a loopless weighted graph such that the edge weight $w(i,j)$ is strictly positive for all distinct vertices i and j. Then the insertion algorithm on G is consistent and yields a k-dependent process if and only if either: $G = K_3$ and $k \geq 2$; or $G = K_4$ and $k \geq 1$.*

Graph adjacency is one example of a local constraint. Does the insertion algorithm yield processes which satisfy more general local constraints?

We address this question by considering shifts of finite type [17, 22]. A **loopless shift of finite type** is a set of the form

$$S = \Big\{ x \in [q]^{\mathbb{Z}} \colon (x_{i+1}, \ldots, x_{i+n}) \in W \quad \forall i \in \mathbb{Z} \Big\},$$

for some $W \subset [q]^n \setminus \{(i, \ldots, i) \colon i \in [q]\}$. The **de Bruijn graph** [9] associated to S has vertex set W and edge set

$$E = \Big\{ \big((x_1, \ldots, x_n), (x_2, \ldots, x_{n+1})\big) \colon (x_1, \ldots, x_n), (x_2, \ldots, x_{n+1}) \in W \Big\}.$$

Theorem 4. *Consider a loopless shift of finite type for which the insertion algorithm associated to its de Bruijn graph is consistent.*

(i) There is an associated insertion process taking values in $[q]^{\mathbb{Z}}$ that is almost surely contained in the shift of finite type.
(ii) The associated insertion process is not finitely dependent.

When $n = 2$, shifts of finite type correspond to edge sets of directed graphs. In analogy with Theorems 1 to 3, one might expect K_3 and K_4 to appear in Theorem 4; the intuitive reason this does not occur is that Theorems 1 to 3 correspond to vertex insertion algorithms, whereas Theorem 4 corresponds to edge insertion.

1.1. **Outline.** Section 2 presents our general construction. Buildings of words are introduced in Subsection 2.1 and a family of marginal distributions is constructed in Subsection 2.2. Subsection 2.3 introduces the weighted graph property (C), which corresponds to consistency of the marginals produced by the insertion algorithm.

Theorems 1 and 2 are proven in Section 3. We introduce uniform weight graphs in Subsection 3.1, study complete multipartite graphs in Subsection 3.2, and deduce Theorems 1 and 2 in Subsection 3.3. Section 4 combines Theorem 2 with some additional arguments to deduce Theorem 3. Lastly, we establish Theorem 4 in Section 5.

2. The weighted insertion algorithm

In this section we present our generalization of the Holroyd-Liggett insertion algorithm [15] more formally. We recall that the insertion algorithm yields a canonical family of distributions on paths of length n. Conditions are formulated under which the family extends to a k-dependent process.

2.1. **Words and building orders.** Let V be a finite alphabet. A **weighted graph** on V is a function $w\colon V^2 \to \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$. The **edge set** of a weighted graph comprises pairs $(i, j) \in V^2$ that satisfy $w(i, j) > 0$. A **weighted multigraph** is a weighted graph in which multiple edges are allowed; formally, it is a function $w\colon E \to \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ where E is a multiset of pairs $(i, j) \in V^2$.

A **word of length** n is an $x \in V^n$. We write $x = x_1 \cdots x_n$ and

$$\widehat{x}_i = x_1 \cdots x_{i-1} x_{i+1} \cdots x_n.$$

Let S_n denote the set of permutations of length n. A **building** is an element $(x, \sigma) \in V^n \times S_n$. We interpret σ as meaning that the symbol $x_{\sigma(t)}$ arrives at time t. When the symbol $x_{\sigma(t)}$ arrives, its insertion location is determined by $\sigma(t)$.



FIGURE 1. Left: the building $\sigma = 4752613$ of the word $x_1x_2\cdots x_7$. Right: the constraint graph of σ.

Suppose that the symbols of x are vertices in a weighted graph: then we associate a **constraint graph** to each building of x.

It is a weighted multigraph whose vertices are the symbols of x. At time t, edges are appended to the graph as follows. Let L_t (resp. R_t) denote the set of times before t at which indices to the left (resp. right) of $\sigma(t)$ appear:

$$L_t = \{s < t \colon \sigma(s) < \sigma(t)\}, \quad R_t = \{s < t \colon \sigma(s) > \sigma(t)\}.$$

If L_t is non-empty, append the edge $(x_{\max L_t}, x_{\sigma(t)})$ with weight $w(x_{\max L_t}, x_{\sigma(t)})$ to the constraint graph. If R_t is non-empty, append an analogous edge with weight $w(x_{\sigma(t)}, x_{\min R_t})$ (see Figure 1).

The **building weight** $w(x;\sigma)$ is defined to be the product of the edge weights in the constraint graph of (x,σ):

$$w(x;\sigma) := \prod_{t\colon L_t \neq \emptyset} w\big(x_{\max L_t}, x_{\sigma(t)}\big) \prod_{t\colon R_t \neq \emptyset} w\big(x_{\sigma(t)}, x_{\min R_t}\big),$$

where $t = 1, \ldots, n$. The **weight of a word** is $w(x) := w(x;\mathrm{id})$. In this case the constraint graph is a weighted path and

$$w(x) = w(x_1, x_2)\cdots w(x_{n-1}, x_n).$$

Definition 5. Given a weighted graph with vertex set V, the **weighted building count** of a word $x \in V^n$ is

$$B(x) := \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} w(x;\sigma).$$

We remark that our definition generalizes the quantity $B(x)$ introduced by Holroyd and Liggett [15]. One may recover their definition by considering a complete graph K_q on q vertices, regarded as a weighted graph with $w(i,j) = \mathbf{1}[i \neq j]$.

For later use, we also introduce the auxiliary quantity $\widetilde{B}(x)$. It is defined recursively by setting $\widetilde{B}(\emptyset) = 1$ and

$$\widetilde{B}(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} w(x_{i-1}, x_{i+1})\ \widetilde{B}(\widehat{x}_i).$$

Lemma 6. *The building count $B(x)$ satisfies the recurrence*

$$B(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} w(x_{i-1}, x_i)\ B(\widehat{x}_i)\ w(x_i, x_{i+1}).$$

Moreover, $B(x) = w(x)\widetilde{B}(x)$.

Proof. Fix an index i. For any σ which satisfies $i = \sigma(n)$,

$$w(x;\sigma) = w(x_{i-1}, x_i)\ w(\widehat{x}_i;\widehat{\sigma}_i)\ w(x_i, x_{i+1}).$$

Summing over all $\sigma \in S_n$ yields the result.

To show that $B(x) = w(x)\widetilde{B}(x)$, it suffices to show that they satisfy the same recurrence relation. Observe that

$$w(x)\ w(x_{i-1}, x_{i+1}) = w(x_{i-1}, x_i)\ w(\widehat{x}_i)\ w(x_i, x_{i+1}).$$

Consequently

$$\begin{aligned} w(x)\ \widetilde{B}(x) &= \sum_{i=1}^{n} w(x) w(x_{i-1}, x_{i+1})\ \widetilde{B}(\widehat{x}_i) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{n} w(x_{i-1}, x_i)\ \big[w(\widehat{x}_i)\widetilde{B}(\widehat{x}_i)\big]\ w(x_i, x_{i+1}), \end{aligned}$$

which establishes the lemma. □

Applying the recurrence in Lemma 6 allows us to compute $\widetilde{B}(x)$ for short words.

Claim 7. *Suppose $x \in V^n$. For $n \in \{2, 3, 4\}$ we compute $\widetilde{B}(x)$.*

$$\begin{aligned} n = 2: &\qquad \widetilde{B}(x) = 2 \\ n = 3: &\qquad \widetilde{B}(x) = 4 + 2w(x_1, x_3) \\ n = 4: &\qquad \widetilde{B}(x) = 8 + 2\big[w(x_1, x_3) + w(x_2, x_4)\big]\big[3 + w(x_1, x_4)\big] \end{aligned}$$

2.2. **Constructing the weighted insertion process.** For each n, introduce a probability measure $\mathbb{P}_n$ on V^n by setting

$$\mathbb{P}_n(x) = \frac{B(x)}{\sum_{x \in V^n} B(x)}.$$

Definition 8.

(i) The family of measures $\{\mathbb{P}_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ is **consistent** if

$$\mathbb{P}_n(x) = \sum_{v\in V} \mathbb{P}_{n+1}(xv) = \sum_{v\in V} \mathbb{P}_{n+1}(vx).$$

(ii) The weighted graph G has **property (C)** if there are positive constants $C_n > 0$ such that for every word $x \in V^n$,

$$\sum_{v\in V} B(xv) = \sum_{v\in V} B(vx) = C_n B(x).$$

When the family of measures is consistent, the Kolmogorov extension theorem [11] yields a process $(X_i)_{i\in\mathbb{Z}}$ with marginal distributions

$$\mathbb{P}((X_{i+1}, \ldots, X_{i+n}) = x) = \mathbb{P}_n(x).$$

This is the **insertion process** induced by a weighted graph. We remark that it is a stationary process.

Lemma 9. *Given a weighted graph G, the family of measures $\{\mathbb{P}_n\}$ is consistent if and only if G has property (C).*

Proof. Choose the constants

$$C_n = \frac{\sum_{y\in V^{n+1}} B(y)}{\sum_{y\in V^n} B(y)}$$

in the definition of property (C). □

Lemma 10. *The graph G has property (C) if and only if for all $n \geq 1$ and every word $x \in V^n$ with $w(x) > 0$,*

$$\sum_{v\in V} \widetilde{B}(xv) w(x_n, v) = \sum_{v\in V} w(v, x_1) \widetilde{B}(vx) = C_n \widetilde{B}(x).$$

Proof. The conditions of property (C) hold vacuously when $w(x) = 0$. When $w(x) > 0$, we apply Lemma 6 to cancel a factor of $w(x)$ from both sides of the equation, thereby obtaining Lemma 10. □

As a consequence of Lemma 9, graphs satisfying property (C) have an associated insertion process.

Lemma 11. *Suppose that G has property (C). Then the associated insertion process is k-dependent if and only if there are positive constants $C_{n,m} > 0$ for which*

$$\sum_{W \in V^k} B(x\ W\ y) = C_{n,m} B(x) B(y), \qquad x \in V^n \text{ and } y \in V^m.$$

Proof. After normalizing, the statement becomes

$$\sum_{W \in V^k} \mathbb{P}_{n+k+m}(x\ W\ y) = \mathbb{P}_n(x)\mathbb{P}_m(y),$$

In other words, x is independent of y if they are separated by a distance of k or greater. □

Holroyd and Liggett [15] showed the unweighted complete graph K_q has property (C). Furthermore, they showed that the associated insertion process is k-dependent if and only if $q = 3$ and $k \geq 2$ or $q = 4$ and $k \geq 1$. In Section 3 we show that apart from minor modifications, these are the only property (C) graphs for which the associated insertion process is finitely dependent.

2.3. **Necessary conditions for k-dependence.** Consider a graph G satisfying property (C). In this subsection, we present necessary conditions for the associated insertion process to be finitely dependent. We show that such graphs must contain directed triangles (Lemma 14) and must be strongly connected (Lemma 15).

Definition 12. A **directed triangle on** (a, b) is a triple of vertices $(a, b, c) \in V^3$ that satisfy $w(a, b)\ w(b, c)\ w(a, c) > 0$.

We brieifly consider graphs that lack directed triangles. The insertion algorithm is degenerate for such a graph, for in this case insertion occurs only at endpoints of a path.

Lemma 13. *Suppose that G lacks directed triangles. Then for any word $x \in V^k$ with $w(x) > 0$, we have that $\widetilde{B}(x) = 2^{k-1}$.*

Proof. For any $1 < i < n$, the directed triangle condition implies that $w(x_{i-1}, x_{i+1}) = 0$. Hence by Lemma 6, we have $\widetilde{B}(x) = \widetilde{B}(\widehat{x}_1) + \widetilde{B}(\widehat{x}_n)$ from which the claim $\widetilde{B}(x) = 2^{k-1}$ follows. □

Lemma 14. *If the insertion process on G is finitely dependent, then G has a directed triangle.*

Our proof of Lemma 14 is reminiscent of an argument appearing in [15, Section 5] which establishes that finite state Markov chains can not perform k-dependent q-coloring.

Proof of Lemma 14. Suppose to the contrary that G lacks directed triangles. In particular $w(i,i) = 0$ for all $i \in V$ (consider the directed triangle (i,i,i)). By Lemma 13, it follows that $w(x) = B(x)2^{-k}$ for all words $x \in V^{k+1}$. Hence if A is the adjacency matrix of G, we have

$$\begin{aligned}(A^{k+1})_{ij} &= \sum_{W \in V^k} w(i\ W\ j) \\ &= 2^{-k} \sum_{W \in V^k} B(i\ W\ j) \\ &= 2^{-k} C_{1,1},\end{aligned}$$

where $C_{1,1}$ is one of the constants appearing in Lemma 11.

Thus A^{k+1} is a non-zero matrix with uniform entries, which implies that A has eigenvalue 0 with algebraic multiplicity exactly $|V| - 1$. Consequently $\operatorname{Tr} A \neq 0$. This is inconsistent with $w(i,i) = 0$, so we have obtained the desired contradiction. □

We say that a weighted graph G is **strongly connected** if for every pair of vertices $i, j \in V$, there is a directed positive-weight path connecting i to j.

Lemma 15. *If the insertion process on G is finitely dependent, then G is strongly connected.*

Proof. Suppose that the insertion process $\{X_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}$ on G is k-dependent. In particular X_0 and X_{k+1} are independent, so $\mathbb{P}(X_0 = i, X_{k+1} = j) > 0$. Thus there is a positive-weight path connecting i to j in G. □

3. Uniform Weight Graphs

We establish Theorem 2 in this section, and deduce Theorem 1 as a corollary. The plan of attack is as follows:

(i) Section 3.1 introduces uniform weight graphs. In order for the insertion algorithm on such a graph to be consistent, the graph must obey certain regularity conditions. Moreover, there is a forbidden minor constraint.
(ii) Section 3.2 is devoted to complete multipartite graphs of uniform weight. It is shown that establishing k-dependence for such graphs reduces to studying complete graphs, á la [15].
(iii) Section 3.3 proves Theorem 2 by combining the forbidden minor constraint of Section 3.1 with the results on complete multipartite graphs of Section 3.2.

3.1. **The insertion algorithm for uniform weight graphs.** We consider uniform weight graphs for two reasons. Firstly, their associated insertion processes generalize the end-weighted insertion colorings introduced by Holroyd [14, Section 4]. Secondly, they serve as a stepping stone to arbitrary weighted graphs.

Definition 16. The weighted graph G has **uniform weight** w if the following properties hold for all $i, j \in V$ and some $w > 0$:

(i) either $w(i,j) = 0$ or $w(i,j) = w$,
(ii) $w(i,j) = w(j,i)$,
(iii) $w(i,i) = 0$.

Lemma 17. *Suppose that G satisfies property (C) and has uniform weight w.*

(i) For any word $x \in V^n$ with $w(x) > 0$,

$$B(x) = wB(\widehat{x}_1) + w^2 \sum_{i=2}^{n-1} B(\widehat{x}_i) + wB(\widehat{x}_n).$$

(ii) The graph G is d-regular for some $d \geq 1$.
(iii) For some $t \geq 0$, there are t triangles on every edge of G.

Proof.

(i) Since $w(x) > 0$, we must have $w(x_i x_{i+1}) = w$ for all i and the result follows by Lemma 6.
(ii) Fix a vertex $x_1 \in V$ and let d be the degree of x_1. Then

$$C_1 = \sum_{v \in V} B(x_1 v) = 2wd.$$

Thus $d = C_1/(2w)$, which is independent of x_1.
(iii) Fix an edge (x_1, x_2) and let t be the number of triangles on this edge. We compute that

$$2wC_2 = \sum_{v \in V} B(x_1 x_2 v) = (4w^2 + 2w^3)t + 4w^2(d - t).$$

Thus $t = (C_2 - C_1)/w^2$, which is again independent of (x_1, x_2). □

Consider the graph that appears in Figure 2.

Definition 18. An undirected graph with vertices a, b, c, d is a **kite** if abc form a triangle and d is adjacent to a and no other vertex.

Lemma 19. *Suppose that G has uniform weight and satisfies property (C). Then there is no kite which occurs as an induced subgraph of G.*



FIGURE 2. The kite $(abc; d)$

Proof. Suppose to the contrary that G contains a kite $(abc; d)$ and consider the following quantity:

$$Q = \sum_{v \in V} \Big[\widetilde{B}(babv) - \widetilde{B}(dabv) \Big] w(b, v) \tag{1}$$

We will derive a contradiction by computing Q in two different ways and obtaining two different answers.

First we will show that $Q = 0$. Indeed, by Lemma 10 we must have

$$Q = C_3 \Big[\widetilde{B}(bab) - \widetilde{B}(dab) \Big].$$

Applying Claim 7, we have $\widetilde{B}(bab) - \widetilde{B}(dab) = 2[w(b, b) - w(d, b)] = 0$. Hence Q vanishes.

Now we will show that $Q > 0$. By Claim 7,

$$\Big[\widetilde{B}(babv) - \widetilde{B}(dabv) \Big] w(b, v) = 2w(a, v)\ w(b, v)\ \big[w(b, v) - w(d, v) \big].$$

This expression is non-negative, since if $w(b, v) = 0$ it vanishes and otherwise $w(b, v) \geq w(d, v)$. Consequently

$$\begin{aligned} Q &= \sum_{v \in V} \Big[\widetilde{B}(babv) - \widetilde{B}(dabv) \Big] w(b, v) \\ &\geq \Big[\widetilde{B}(babc) - \widetilde{B}(dabc) \Big] w(b, c) \\ &= 2w^3 > 0. \end{aligned}$$

Thus $Q > 0$, so we have reached the desired contradiction. □

3.2. **Complete multipartite graphs.** In this section, we reduce the verification of property (C) for complete multipartite graphs to the case of a complete graph. This allows us to extend results of Holroyd and Liggett [15] to complete multipartite graphs.

We use the following notation: K_q denotes the complete graph on q vertices, $K_{r,\ldots,r}$ denotes the complete multipartite graph with q parts

each of size r, and $w \cdot K_{r,\dots,r}$ denote the weighted complete multipartite graph with uniform weight w.

Definition 20.

(i) Let $K_{\underbrace{r,\dots,r}_{q}}$ denote the graph with vertex and edge sets

$$V(K_{r,\dots,r}) = [qr], \quad E(K_{r,\dots,r}) = \{(i,j) \colon i \not\equiv j \mod q\}.$$

(ii) Let $w \cdot K_{r,\dots,r}$ denote the weighted graph with

$$V(w \cdot K_{r,\dots,r}) = [qr], \quad w(i,j) = w \cdot \mathbf{1}\left[i \not\equiv j \mod q\right].$$

(iii) Let $K_q := K_{\underbrace{1,\dots,1}_{q}}$ and $w \cdot K_q := w \cdot K_{\underbrace{1,\dots,1}_{q}}$.

Note that the graph $K_{r,\dots,r}$ is a Turán graph [12].

Lemma 21. *Fix any $r, k \in \mathbb{N}$. The insertion algorithm on $w \cdot K_{r,\dots,r}$ is consistent and yields a k-dependent process if and only if the same holds for the graph $w \cdot K_q$.*

Proof. Consider the mapping $f \colon [qr] \to [q]$ given by $f(i) = i \mod q$. For any word $x \in [qr]^n$, let $f(x)$ denote the word $f(x_1)f(x_2)\cdots f(x_n) \in [q]^n$. Observe that

$$w(i,j) = w\big(f(i), f(j)\big) \quad \forall i,j \in [qr],$$

from which it follows that $B(x) = B\big(f(x)\big)$. Thus the following are equivalent:

(i) $\displaystyle\sum_{v \in [qr]} B(xv) = rC_nB(x)$

(ii) $\displaystyle\sum_{v' \in [q]} B\big(f(x)v'\big) = C_nB\big(f(x)\big)$

By Lemma 9, it follows that $w \cdot K_q$ admits the insertion process if and only if $w \cdot K_{r,\dots,r}$ admits the insertion process.

Similarly, the following are equivalent:

(i) $\displaystyle\sum_{W \in [qr]^k} B(x\ W\ y) = r^kC_{nm}B(x)B(y)$

(ii) $\displaystyle\sum_{W' \in [q]^k} B\big(f(x)\ W'\ f(y)\big) = C_{nm}B\big(f(x)\big)B\big(f(y)\big)$

The result now follows by Lemma 11. □

Lemma 22. *Suppose the graph $w \cdot K_q$ has property (C) and $q \geq 3$. Then $w = 1$.*

Proof. Applying Claim 7 and performing a calculation, we have

$$\sum_{v\in[q]}\left[\frac{\widetilde{B}(121v)}{\widetilde{B}(121)}-\frac{\widetilde{B}(321v)}{\widetilde{B}(321)}\right]=w(w-1)\left(\frac{q}{2}-\frac{w+1}{w+2}\right).$$

By Lemma 10 this quantity vanishes. On the other hand,

$$\frac{q}{2}>1>\frac{w+1}{w+2}.$$

Therefore $w=1$. □

Stringing together several results allows us to classify all property (C) graphs in the family $w\cdot K_{r,\dots,r}$ whose associated insertion process is k-dependent.

Lemma 23. *The graph $w\cdot K_{r,\dots,r}$ for $q\geq 3$ and $r\geq 1$ has property (C) and yields a k-dependent insertion process if and only if $w=1$ and either: $q=3$ and $k\geq 2$; or $q=4$ and $k\geq 1$.*

Proof. By Lemma 21, it suffices to consider $w\cdot K_q$. By Lemma 22, $w=1$. Applying Propositions 10 and 13 of [15], the insertion process on K_q is k-dependent if and only if $q=3$ ($k\geq 2$) or $q=4$ ($k\geq 1$). □

3.3. **Extension to uniform weight graphs.** We now combine the results of Sections 3.1 and 3.2 to extend Lemma 23 to cover *all* uniform weight graphs. In other words, the *only* uniform weight graphs for which the insertion process is k-dependent are $K_{r,r,r}$ and $K_{r,r,r,r}$. These are straightforward embellishments of the known examples K_3 and K_4.

The following graph-theoretic lemma allows us to reduce the general uniform weight case to the complete multipartite graphs treated in Section 3.2. The lemma implies that any uniform weight graph either contains a kite, or is complete multipartite.

Lemma 24. *Let G be a kite-free connected loopless graph containing a triangle abc. Then every vertex $d\in V$ is adjacent to at least two of $\{a,b,c\}$.*



FIGURE 3. The problematic triangle abc in Lemma 24

Proof. By Lemma 19, no vertex $d \in V$ is adjacent to exactly one of abc. Hence it suffices to show that every vertex d is adjacent to abc.

Suppose to the contrary that some $d \in V$ is non-adjacent to abc. Choose a minimal path joining d to abc. We show that a kite is present near the intersection of the path with abc, from which we obtain the desired contradiction.

Let d' denote the path vertex adjacent to abc. There are two cases to consider: either d' is adjacent to a single vertex of abc (left half of Figure 4), or it is adjacent to more than one vertex (right half of Figure 4).



FIGURE 4. Reaching a contradiction

If d' is adjacent to a single vertex, then $(abc; d')$ is a kite. Now suppose that d' is adjacent to more than one vertex. Without loss of generality, suppose that d' is adjacent to a and c. Let d'' denote a neighbor of d' on the path from d to d'. By minimality of the path, d'' is non-adjacent to abc. Consequently $(d'ac; d'')$ is a kite. □

We are now in a position to prove Theorem 2.

Proof of Theorem 2. Suppose that G is a uniform weight graph with property (C) and suppose that the insertion process associated to G is k-dependent. We will deduce that either $G = K_{r,r,r,r}$ and $k \geq 2$, or $G = K_{r,r,r}$ and $k \geq 1$.

First we will show that G is complete multipartite. Consider the relation $a \not\sim b$ on the vertex set V. It is clear that this is a reflexive and symmetric relation, because G has uniform weight (and is therefore undirected by definition).

Next, we establish transitivity of the relation $a \not\sim b$. Assume to the contrary that this was not the case; then there must exist vertices a, b, d such that $a \sim b$ yet $a \not\sim d$ and $b \not\sim d$.

By Lemma 14 and Lemma 17, there are $t \geq 1$ triangles on every edge. In particular, we may complete the edge (a, b) into a triangle

abc. By Lemma 19, G lacks kites, and by Lemma 15 it is connected. Moreover by Definition 16, G is loopless.

Thus we have verified the conditions of Lemma 24. Consequently, the vertex d is adjacent to at least two of a, b, c. This contradicts the hypothesis that $a \not\sim d$ and $a \not\sim b$. It follows that the relation $a \not\sim b$ is in fact transitive.

Hence $a \not\sim b$ is an equivalence relation. Decompose V into the equivalence classes, and observe that G is complete multipartite with parts given by the equivalence classes.

By Lemma 22, G is a regular graph and thus the parts have equal sizes. Thus $G = w \cdot K_{r,\dots,r}$, for some $w > 0$ and $r \geq 1$.

Applying Lemma 14 again, we see that G contains a triangle and therefore $q \geq 3$. The result now follows by Lemma 23. □

4. Weighted graphs with strictly positive edge weights

The results in this section apply to loopless weighted graphs with strictly positive edge weights. That is, we consider graphs with $w(i,i) = 0$ and $w(i,j) > 0$ for all distinct vertices $i, j \in V$. We will establish Theorem 2, which states that the only such graphs for which the insertion process is k-dependent are the (unweighted) graphs K_3 (for $k \geq 1$) and K_4 (for $k \geq 2$). This result is established by reducing to the case of uniform weight graphs and then applying the results of Section 3.

We introduce the quantity

$$T_n(i,j) = \sum_{v \in V} w(i,v)^{\lceil n/2 \rceil} w(j,v)^{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor}$$

for distinct indices $i, j \in V$, with the convention $0^0 := 1$.

Lemma 25. *For all $n \geq 1$, the quantity $T_n(i,j)$ is independent of the pair of distinct integers (i,j).*

Proof. Let $x \in \{i,j\}^n$ be the unique alternating word ending in i. The computation of $B(xv)$ is greatly simplified due to $w(i,i) = w(j,j) = 0$. For any building $\sigma \in S_{n+1}$ which satisfies $w(xv;\sigma) > 0$, we have

$$w(xv;\sigma) = w(x) w(i,v)^{\lceil \ell/2 \rceil} w(j,v)^{\lfloor \ell/2 \rfloor}$$

for some $1 \leq \ell \leq n$. Moreover, for each ℓ there exists such a σ: one may choose $\sigma = 1, 2, \dots, n+1-\ell, n+1, n+2-\ell, \dots, n$ (see Figure 5).

Recall from Definition 5 that

$$B(xv) = \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} w(xv;\sigma).$$



FIGURE 5. Constraint graph of a building of xv

Thus there exist positive integers $\{d_\ell\}_{\ell=1}^n$ for which

$$B(xv) = w(x) \sum_{\ell=1}^{n} d_\ell \, w(i,v)^{\lceil \ell/2 \rceil} w(j,v)^{\lfloor \ell/2 \rfloor}.$$

Summing over all vertices $v \in V$, we obtain

$$\sum_{v \in V} B(xv) = w(x) \sum_{\ell=1}^{n} d_\ell \, T_\ell(i,j).$$

By Lemma 9,

$$\sum_{v \in V} B(xv) = C_n B(x),$$

where (crucially) C_n is independent of the pair (i,j). By Lemmas 6 and 13, $B(x) = 2^{n-1} w(x)$. Since $w(x) > 0$, we have

$$\sum_{\ell=1}^{n} d_\ell \, T_\ell(i,j) = 2^{n-1} C_n.$$

Since $d_\ell > 0$, it follows by linear algebra that $T_n(i,j) = \sum_{\ell=1}^n \alpha_\ell C_\ell$ for some α_ℓ independent of the pair (i,j).

□

In light of Lemma 25, we write T_n in place of $T_n(i,j)$ from now on.

Lemma 26. *For all pairs of distinct indices (i,j) and (i',j'), we have $w(i,j) = w(i',j')$.*

Proof. Let $z_1 > z_2 > \cdots$ denote the set of distinct positive values attained by $w(i,v)w(j,v)$ as v ranges over V. Let V_ℓ denote the vertices which contribute to z_ℓ:

$$V_\ell = \{v \in V : w(i,v)w(j,v) = z_\ell\}$$

and let $a_\ell = \sum_{v \in V_\ell} w(i,v)$. Rewriting the expression for T_{2n+1} yields

$$T_{2n+1} = \sum_{\ell} a_\ell z_\ell^n.$$

Next, observe that

$$z_1 = \inf_{n\to\infty} (T_{2n+1})^{1/n}$$

and

$$a_1 = \inf_{n\to\infty} \frac{T_{2n+1}}{z_1^n}.$$

Hence the parameters a_1 and z_1 can be reconstructed given the sequence $\{T_n\}$. Applying the same procedure to $T_{2n+1} - a_1 z_1^n$ allows us to iteratively reconstruct all of the parameters. Thus a_ℓ and z_ℓ are uniquely determined by the $\{T_n\}$, so they are independent of the choice (i, j).

Finally, observe that $\sum_\ell a_\ell = \sum_{v\neq j} w(i, v)$. Therefore

$$w(i, j) = T_1 - \sum_\ell a_\ell,$$

which is independent of (i, j). □

Combining Lemma 26 with the results of Section 3 allows us to conclude Theorem 3.

Proof of Theorem 3. Suppose that G is a loopless weighted graph such that $w(i, j) > 0$ for all distinct vertices i and j. Moreover, suppose that G has property (C) and yields a k-dependent process. We show that either: $G = K_3$ and $k \geq 2$; or $G = K_4$ and $k \geq 1$.

By Lemma 26, the graph G has uniform weight. Applying Theorem 2, we conclude that either $G = K_{r,r,r}$ and $k \geq 2$, or $G = K_{r,r,r,r}$ and $k \geq 1$. Since $w(i, j) > 0$ for all $i \neq j$, it follows that $r = 1$. Thus G is a complete graph, and applying [15, Proposition 13] we deduce that G is either K_3 (for $k \geq 2$) or K_4 (for $k \geq 1$).

Conversely, by the main result of [15] the graphs K_3 and K_4 are k-dependent for $k \geq 2$ and $k \geq 1$ respectively. □

5. Shifts of finite type

We turn to the proof of Theorem 4.

Theorem. *Consider a loopless shift of finite type for which the insertion algorithm associated to its de Bruijn graph is consistent.*

- *(i) There is an associated insertion process taking values in $[q]^{\mathbb{Z}}$ which is almost surely an element of the shift of finite type.*
- *(ii) The associated insertion process is not finitely dependent.*

Remark. The consistency hypothesis is equivalent to the following regularity property of the shift of finite type. For any $x_1 \in [q]$ and tuple $(y_2, \dots, y_n) \in [q]^{n-1}$, define the quantity

$$L(x_1, y_2, \dots, y_n) = \#\{(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in W \colon (x_2, \dots, x_n) = (y_2, \dots, y_n)\}.$$

Similarly, define the quantity

$$R(y_1, \dots, y_{n-1}, x_n) = \#\{(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in W \colon (x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}) = (y_1, \dots, y_{n-1})\}.$$

An argument which we omit (based on Lemma 13 and Lemma 10) shows that the insertion algorithm associated to the de Bruijn graph is consistent if and only of

$$L(x_1, y_2, \dots, y_n) = R(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_{n-1}, x_n) \equiv K$$

is a constant.

Proof of Theorem 4. Let G denote the de Bruijn graph of the shift of finite type.

(i) Let $\{Y_\ell\}_{\ell \in \mathbb{Z}}$ denote the insertion process on G. Then we write

$$Y_\ell = (x_\ell, \dots, x_{\ell+n-1}) \in [q]^n.$$

Since $\{Y_\ell\}$ is almost surely a path in G, the overlapping elements in adjacent tuples Y_ℓ and $Y_{\ell+1}$ almost surely coincide. We define the associated insertion process taking values in $[q]^{\mathbb{Z}}$ to be the random sequence $\{x_\ell\}_{\ell \in \mathbb{Z}}$.

(ii) Suppose to the contrary that the associated insertion process $\{x_\ell\}_{\ell \in \mathbb{Z}}$ is k-dependent. Then the tuples $Y_\ell = (x_\ell, \dots, x_{\ell+n-1})$ form an $(n+k-1)$-dependent sequence. Applying Lemma 14 to the insertion process on G, it follows that G has a directed triangle abc. We write

$$a = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n), \quad b = (x_2, \dots, x_{n+1}), \quad c = (x_3, \dots, x_{n+2}).$$

Since the edge (a, c) is present in G, we must have

$$(x_2, x_3, \dots, x_n) = (x_3, \dots, x_{n+1}).$$

Thus $x_2 = x_3 = \cdots = x_{n+1}$, so b is a constant sequence. This contradicts our assumption that the vertex set of G lacks elements of the form $(i, \dots, i)$. Therefore the associated insertion process is not k-dependent. □

Acknowledgements

I thank Alexander Holroyd for posing the questions which inspired this paper. I am grateful to Alexander Holroyd and Christopher Hoffman for valuable discussions as well as their patience.

References

[1] J. Aaronson, D. Gilat, and M. Keane. On the structure of 1-dependent Markov chains. *J. Theoret. Probab.*, 5(3):545–561, 1992.

[2] J. Aaronson, D. Gilat, M. Keane, and V. de Valk. An algebraic construction of a class of one-dependent processes. *Ann. Probab.*, 17(1):128–143, 1989.

[3] N. Alon and O. N. Feldheim. A note on general sliding window processes. *Electron. Commun. Probab.*, 19:no. 66, 7, 2014.

[4] N. Alon and J. H. Spencer. *The probabilistic method*. Wiley-Interscience Series in Discrete Mathematics and Optimization. John Wiley & Sons, Inc., Hoboken, NJ, third edition, 2008. With an appendix on the life and work of Paul Erdős.

[5] B. Bollobás. *Modern graph theory*, volume 184. Springer Science & Business Media, 1998.

[6] C. Borgs, J. Chayes, L. Lovász, V. T. Sós, and K. Vesztergombi. Counting graph homomorphisms. In *Topics in discrete mathematics*, pages 315–371. Springer, 2006.

[7] A. Borodin, P. Diaconis, and J. Fulman. On adding a list of numbers (and other one-dependent determinantal processes). *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (N.S.)*, 47(4):639–670, 2010.

[8] R. M. Burton, M. Goulet, and R. Meester. On 1-dependent processes and k-block factors. *Ann. Probab.*, 21(4):2157–2168, 10 1993.

[9] N. G. de Bruijn. A combinatorial problem. *Nederl. Akad. Wetensch., Proc.*, 49:758–764 = Indagationes Math. 8, 461–467 (1946), 1946.

[10] V. de Valk. *One-dependent processes: two-block factors and non-two-block factors*, volume 85 of *CWI Tract*. Stichting Mathematisch Centrum, Centrum voor Wiskunde en Informatica, Amsterdam, 1994.

[11] R. Durrett. *Probability: theory and examples*. Cambridge Series in Statistical and Probabilistic Mathematics. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, fourth edition, 2010.

[12] P. Erdös. On an extremal problem in graph theory. In *Colloquium Mathematicae*, volume 13, pages 251–254. Institute of Mathematics Polish Academy of Sciences, 1964.

[13] D. Galvin and P. Tetali. On weighted graph homomorphisms. *DIMACS Series in Discrete Mathematics and Theoretical Computer Science*, 63:97–104, 2004.

[14] A. E. Holroyd. One-dependent coloring by finitary factors, arXiv:1411.1463.

[15] A. E. Holroyd and T. M. Liggett. Finitely dependent coloring, arXiv:1403.2448.
[16] A. E. Holroyd and T. M. Liggett. Symmetric 1-dependent colorings of the integers. *Electron. Commun. Probab.*, 20:no. 31, 8, 2015.
[17] A. E. Holroyd, O. Schramm, and D. B. Wilson. Finitary coloring, arXiv:1412.2725.
[18] I. Ibragimov and Y. V. Linnik. Independent and stationarily connected variables. *Izdat. Nauka, Moscow*, 1965.
[19] I. A. Ibragimov and Y. V. Linnik. *Independent and stationary sequences of random variables*. Wolters-Noordhoff Publishing, Groningen, 1971. With a supplementary chapter by I. A. Ibragimov and V. V. Petrov, Translation from the Russian edited by J. F. C. Kingman.
[20] S. Janson. Runs in m-dependent sequences. *Ann. Probab.*, 12(3):805–818, 1984.
[21] O. Kallenberg. *Foundations of modern probability*. Probability and its Applications (New York). Springer-Verlag, New York, second edition, 2002.
[22] D. Lind and B. Marcus. *An introduction to symbolic dynamics and coding*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1995.

Avi Levy, Department of Mathematics, University of Washington, Seattle, WA 98195, USA

E-mail address: avius at uw.edu

URL: http://www.math.washington.edu/~avius